

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/265,432	03/10/1999	AKIHIRO TERADA	392.1627/JDH	4506
21171	7590 02/27/2003			
STAAS & HALSEY LLP 700 11TH STREET, NW SUITE 500			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, THU V	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3661	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/27/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	09/265,432	TERADA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thu V Nguyen	3661					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 N</u>	lovember 2002 .						
	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.					
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-8 and 11-16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 9</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	• •						
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/265,432 Page 2

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nio et al (U.S Patent No. 4,728,974).

As per claim 1, Nio teaches a robot system which comprises: an arm and a wrist (col.5, lines 10-17); a tool unit 5-8 (fig.1A) mounted on the wrist 4 (fig.1A) (col.3, lines 5-20), the tool unit having the effecting end 5-8 (fig.1A) bias toward the final axis 3'(fig.1B).

Nio does not explicitly teach the robot arms with a plurality of links as claimed.

However, using a robot with a movable arm having a plurality of links and being controlled by a controller would have been well known. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to attach the wrist and the end effecting of Nio to a well known robot arm with a plurality of links in order to facilitate moving the end effecting device.

Application/Control Number: 09/265,432 Page 3

Art Unit: 3661

As per claim 9, refer to discussion in claim 1 above. Nio further teaches arranging the workpiece 10 (fig.1A) with respect to the final axis.

Nio does not explicitly teach rotating the final axis to perform machining on the work piece. However, Nio teaches the capability to rotate the end effecting to perform machining on the workpiece (col.3, lines 12-17). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to rotate the effecting end when needed in order to perform suitable work on the workpiece.

Nio does not teach arranging the work piece so that the center axis of the workpiece is aligned with the final axis. However, Nio teaches arranging the work piece so that the final axis indicate the machining point (fig.1A), it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to arrange the center axis of the workpiece to be aligned with the final axis in order to perform machining at the center axis of the workpiece, since arranging the workpiece at an appropriate position so that the welder 7 (fig.1A) can reach a needed service point requires only routine skill in the art.

Cited Prior Arts

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Suzuki et al (U.S Patent No. 4,507,042) teaches a working robot with an arm and a working tool bias with respect to a final axis.

Application/Control Number: 09/265,432 Page 4

Art Unit: 3661

Bruno Jau, "Dexterous Telemanipulation with Four Fingered Hand System", IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, June 1995, teaches a robot hands with effecting end (fingers) that is capable of curving toward the final axis (fig.3).

Nishida et al, "Development of an EVA end-effector, grapple fixtures and Tools for the satellite mounted robot system", IEEE 1996 teaches a robot system with the end effectors bias toward a desire axis (fig. 4a).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 305-7687 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park V, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Seventh Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-9130. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 am to 6:00 pm ET.

Art Unit: 3661

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Cuchlinski, can be reached on (703) 308-3873. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1111.

Thu Nguyen

ly mentru

February 12, 2003